Lecture 17: Tectonic applications

Paleomagnetic poles
Brief review of plate tectonics
Apparent polar wander paths
Paleomagnetism and plate reconstructions

Paleomagnetic poles

- Solution Assume the magnetic field is that of a GAD on average
- If we sample over sufficient time to average out PSV, the average of all the VGPs is a PALEOMAGNETIC POLE (Exactly what is "sufficient time" is not known but must be > 10,000 years

 Hospers (1955): paleomagnetic poles could be used to test the idea of continental drift (of e.g., Wegener, 1915) or polar wandering (Milankovitch, 1933)



Wandering continents or wandering poles?

ROCK MAGNETISM AND POLAR WANDERING¹

J. HOSPERS

De Bataafsche Petroleum Maatschappij (Royal Dutch/Shell Group) The Hague, Netherlands

ABSTRACT

Lava flows and other igneous rocks become magnetized in the direction of the local geomagnetic field when they cool down after their formation. Similarly, sediments acquire a weak magnetic polarization on deposition. The mean direction of magnetization of series of recent lava flows and sediments has been determined; it is found that these mean directions agree closely with the theoretical dipole field. This field is the field due to a geocentric axial magnetic dipole. The conclusion is therefore drawn that the mean position of the magnetic poles (taken over a period of several thousand years) coincides with the geographic poles.

Assuming that the same is true for the geological past, the position of the geographic poles can be defined within fairly narrow limits by using the mean direction of magnetization of older rocks. Measurements on igneous rocks, of Tertiary and Quaternary age and from Europe only, are available. It is concluded that the large amount of polar wandering suggested by Kreichgauer, Köppen and Wegener, and Milankovitch cannot be reconciled with the new data. If polar wandering has taken place at all, it has not exceeded 5°-10° since Eocene times.

Hospers (1955): proposed using paleomagnetism as a test and concluded that polar wandering had not happened.



Lots of predicted polar wander paths from, e.g., Wegener and **Milankovitch**

Data from Tertiary of **Europe** rejected all of them

But: Irving 1958





meanwhile mapping of the sea-floor

World encircling rift -Heezen and Tharp (1957)

At the time Heezen and Tharp thought the rift was from an expanding earth



Paleomagnetists became "drifters" in the 50's

No viable mechanism was proposed until the idea of sea-floor spreading (Hess, 1962)

 Sea floor spreading (versus expanding earth) gained credibility with Morely-Vine-Matthews hypothesis (Vine and Matthews, 1963) which added in polarity reversals

Plate tectonics is "how it works".

The major lithospheric plates



Moving plates around on a sphere

- Move continuously using an angular velocity vector: Euler pole specified by latitude, longitude and rate of rotation
- Can describe any rotation by a finite pole of rotation: latitude, longitude and total angle
- or by a sequence of "stage poles" which sum up to some total finite rotation pole



How to find Euler poles

ridges point to them

fracture zones make small circles around them

magnetic anomalies give rates of spreading which via equation for velocity versus colatitude equation can give you rate of rotation



Given any pt on globe $P(\lambda, \phi)$ cartesian coordinates are: $P_1 = \cos \phi \cos \lambda$ $P_2 = \sin \phi \cos \lambda$ $P_3 = \sin \lambda$

set up rotation matrix $R_{11} = P_{f1}P_{f1}(1 - \cos \Omega) + \cos \Omega$ Rij (see appendix) e.g., $R_{12} = P_{f1}P_{f2}(1 - \cos \Omega) - P_{f3}\sin \Omega$ etc.

and get rotated coordinates $P'_i = R_{ij}P_j$ convert back to lat/long in usual way.... (see Chapter 2) try program pt_rot.py

How to find finite rotation poles

find the rotation that "puts things back together best" by trial and error

can use a paleomagnetic pole to rotate a plate or plate fragment back to past orientation wrt north and latitude

or find finite rotations that cluster poles the best

see Table A.4 for set of finite rotations that put Gondwana continents back together.. fitting things back together:

To fit North America back to Africa (from Table 16.2):

$$\lambda_f = 68, \phi_f = -14, \Omega = 75$$

("Bullard" fit)



cont_rot.py -con nam -sym b- 5 -prj ortho -eye 20 0 -res c -pfr 68 -14 75 and cont_rot.py -con af -sym r- 5 -prj ortho -eye 20 0 -res c -pfr 0 0 0



but be ware of ambiguity!



which polarity? (a versus b)

longitude unconstrained (b versus c)

Making the paleomagnetic poles fit



Back to apparent polar wander

- There have been over 10,000 paleomagnetic poles published since 1925.
- Range in age from Archean to recent
- Range in quality from abysmal to excellent
- most are available in the pole database (GPMDB) available online: <u>http://www.ngu.no/</u> <u>geodynamics/gpmdb/</u>
- More and more available with all the supporting site level data through earthref.org/MagIC

All the poles from Australia in the GPMDB



But how to select? (see book for details)

 e.g., criteria of Van der Voo (1990) or Besse and Courtillot (2002)

Gotta know the age!

 Must be geomagnetic field and average out PSV

Tectonic tilt (and rotation!) must be accounted for

Australian pole set (<200 Ma) after selection (using BC02)



Creating "master" APWP paths

- Say we have a bunch of poles from different continents whose ages are well known.
- finite poles of rotation connecting the different continents are known (from sea floor spreading data, e.g.) – can rotate all poles to common reference frame
- average everything together to create a master APWP
- then export the poles back to each continent.

Exported master APWP for Gondwana continents



Watch out for "discordant" poles in the database!



circles:"stable" North America

triangles: "displaced terrains" from western US (e.g., Mojave desert)



Inclination only data

still gives paleolatitude

three locations give unique pole

Example from Kimmeridgian (~150 Ma) All rotated to Africa



But there is a problem

Sediments are well known for their inclination shallowing little problem
Many poles are based on sediments
Ergo, many poles are WRONG

But there is also a solution

- Can use the DRM tensor (lecture on tensors) to correct the poles
- OR you can use a statistical field model (say, TKO3) to correct the poles
- OR you could just pull a flattening factor out of the air and use that (not my favorite way)

Predicted directions from "TK03"





geological application:"fixing" inclination error



A set of "flattened" directions, which should have inc of dashed line





unflatten data with f ranging from |=> 0



Calculate E and I at each f



find the f which matches the field model





Next steps

Need to re-do the statistical field model with updated database of Cromwell

Need to correct all the sediment poles for inclination shallowing

this will likely require resampling of them as most studies do not have enough data to do the E/I

who wants to help?